## **FOR PUBLICATION**

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

## **OF THE**

## COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS,	) CRIMINAL CASE NO. <u>02-0217T</u>
Plaintiff,	) )
vs.	ORDER
JONG HUN LEE,	) ) )
Defendant.	) ) )

**THIS MATTER** came before the court on May 10, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. pursuant to the Government's Motion for a Bail Forfeiture hearing in Courtroom 223A. The Government was represented by Assistant Attorney General Rebecca Warfield. Defendant, Jong Hun Lee, failed to appear and was represented by G. Anthony Long, Esq..

Pursuant to Com. R. Crim. P. 46(e)(1) a declaration of forfeiture is mandatory upon a breach of any of the conditions of pretrial release. The pretrial release bail order was issued on November 9, 2002 wherein defendant was released on a \$50,000.00 property bond. One of the conditions was to return to court whenever required to do so. Subsequent to Defendant's conviction and during his stay pending appeal, Defendant made several requests for leave to travel to Korea for medical treatment.

The Court granted Defendant's requests subject to certain conditions imposed by the Court. One condition was that Defendant was to provide the court his address and contact number while in Korea. Defendant failed to meet this condition by failing to relay his contact information to the Court on several occasions. Another condition was that the defendant sign a waiver to opposing any extradition if necessary, and defendant, did in fact sign, a waiver of extradition proceedings which is in the court file.

1	In addition, the pretrial release order required defendant to keep all appointments with his
2	attorney. However, according to Defendant's own attorney, Defendant made no contact with his
3	attorney despite several attempts by defendant's attorney to contact him from the date of Defendant's
4	latest release through the present. <sup>1</sup>
5	Based on the foregoing, the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has
6	violated the terms and conditions of his bond and the forfeiture of said bond must be forfeited.
7	IT IS ORDERED that the property bond posted as security in this matter in the sum of
8	\$50,000.00 is hereby forfeited pursuant to Com. R. Crim. P. 46(e)(1).
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court has no reason to exercise its discretion to set aside
10	the forfeiture pursuant to the Com. R. Crim. P. 46(e)(2).
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a separate Judgment of this court shall issue against the
12	property forfeited herein.
13	
14	SO ORDERED this 30th day of May, 2006.
15	
16	/s/
17	BIT I III I III I I I I I I I I I I I I
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	<sup>1</sup> Defendant's attorney is on record as having admitted that there has been no contact between he and Defendant since Defendant's latest release. The Court finds that such admissions are sufficient to establish facts that Defendant failed to
'	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

27

28