1 FOR PUBLICATION 2 3 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE 4 COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS 5 6 COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN TRAFFIC CASE NO. 04-2741 MARIANA ISLANDS, TRAFFIC CASE NO. 03-4106 7 8 Plaintiff, **SENTENCE AND COMMITMENT ORDER** v. 10 MICHELINE WEST BREL, 11 Defendant. 12 13 THIS MATTER came before the Court for Sentencing on December 9, 2004, at 9:00 a.m. 14 at the Commonwealth Superior Court on Saipan in Courtroom 223A. The Government was 15 represented by Assistant Attorney General, Grant D. Sanders. The Defendant appeared with her 16 17 counsel, Masood Karimipour, Chief Public Defender. 18 On August 3 1, 2004, the Court, pursuant to a Plea Agreement found the Defendant guilty 19 of Homicide by Vehicle as charged in violation of 9 CMC § 7110(a) and Driving While Under the 20 Influence of Alcohol as charged in violation of 9 CMC § 7105(a)(5). 21 **SENTENCE** 22 In deciding what sentence is most appropriate, I must attempt to protect society at large, deter 23 24 potential offenders, punish the convicted offender, and to rehabilitate her. 25 In trying to meet all these goals, a trial court is faced with no more difficult task than 26 imposing sentence. The heavy burden on the Court is a reflection of the importance of the sentence 27 28

to the public interest. The selection of an appropriate sentence is as important to the administration of justice as is the right to a fair determination of guilt.

In this case we have a young lady of 21 years who was previously convicted for Driving While Under the Influence of Alcohol. In that previous case she was intoxicated, drove a vehicle, crashed into another vehicle, and left the scene of the crash.

She was convicted, served four days in jail, had 26 days suspended and was placed on probation for one year. While on probation for that case, she violated one of her probation conditions by consuming alcohol beverages. While intoxicated, she drove a vehicle, and hit and killed another human being. Defendant did not stop to check on or render assistance to the victim. Instead, she chose to flee the scene of the accident once again. She did, however, turn herself in sometime later.

As a result of her conduct, Defendant has deprived the victim's family of a father, husband, son, emotional support, and has brought upon the victim's family financial hardship. She has also deprived the victim's wife and son of companionship and the victim's father and son of medical treatments and education.

Although Defendant received a sentence for her previous crime, the sentence was not sufficient deterrence to prevent her from once again violating the law. Indeed, she violated her probation, only this time her actions were responsible for the loss of one life and have devastating repercussions to the victim's loved ones.

Unfortunately, there are about 47 people today and everyday, that is one every 30 minutes of each and every day in the United States who no longer have that great and special gift of life that each of us now have because they were killed as a result of an alcoholic related traffic accident. In addition to those fatalities, there is one non-fatal injury every two minutes from alcohol related

traffic accidents. It is also unfortunate that our small community is adding alcohol related deaths and injuries to those statistics.

We need people to start thinking about these deaths and injuries and realize that they will go to jail and lose their liberty for an extended period of time if convicted in this Court for a Driving While Under the Influence of Alcohol offense. The jail time is also in addition to the fines, loss of driving privileges, alcoholic information class to attend and the public humiliation of a criminal conviction. There simply cannot be the slightest tolerance to irresponsible drinking and driving which add to the given statistics mentioned. The proverbial message to the community must be sent, and as loudly and frequently as possible.

The Court has reviewed and acknowledges the several letters and statements from family and friends of Defendant and the sentencing memorandum presented by defense counsel. The Court has also considered the testimony of the witnesses. The Court concludes from the said testimony, statements and documents submitted on behalf of Defendant that she has been on a positive road toward rehabilitation and will most likely continue on that road. The Court also notes Defendant's sincere regrets and acceptance of responsibility for the harm done to the victim and his family. These facts and the support of family and friends and the legal arguments made by her counsel have been considered and these facts should be very important down the road when she applies for parole.

For the Court, however, rehabilitation is only one of the factors that a Court must consider in sentencing, because sentencing is not really about what is good for the Defendant, but rather what is best for the community in the sense that such criminal homicides against the people of our community be deterred from occurring. Also a factor is society's demand for retribution for the wrongful act, which adds to the deterrent factor. And we cannot forget the victim's family and the

victim himself.

The crime of homicide by vehicle carries a jail term maximum of 10 years and a minimum of 90 days, while Driving While Under the Influence of Alcohol second offense carries a minimum jail sentence of 30 days and a maximum jail sentence of up to one year.

The plea agreement provides for a 10-year sentence all suspended except up to a 4-year jail term. The Court may also revoke probation in the previous case and exercise it's discretion in applying any sentence thereunder.

In view of the foregoing observations, and notwithstanding the Court's consideration of the pleas for leniency by family and friends and the defense counsel's argument for the Court's exercise of compassion, and in particular, Defendant's sincere statement of remorse and acceptance of responsibility for her actions, the Court believes that this is a case where the best interests of justice and of this society will be best served by imposing a jail term that the Court believes will have a deterrent effect in the life of Defendant upon her release, and more important, on other potential offenders, and will serve as the retribution that society demands.

Public perception is an integral part of sentencing convicted criminals. This is especially true at this particular time and mood of society with the Governor's Proclamation a few days ago, as well as the National Highway Safety 3D Program administered by the Department of Public Safety, both declaring a campaign against Driving While Under the Influence of Alcohol. The message and mandate are clear: to get tougher and tougher with Driving While Under the Influence of Alcohol offenders because the alcohol related fatalities and injuries are taking their toll on our small community and cannot be tolerated.

However, the best interests of the community must also recognize what this Court has seen

1 to 2 s 3 a 4 to 5 6 e 7 to 8 r 9

to be a Defendant who delivered a most articulate, sincere and persuasive elocution at this sentencing, expressing in detail the terrible consequences of her acts, her sincere remorse and acceptance of responsibility and her desire to work and make payments of the fines and restitution to the family of the victim. The matters covered in Defendant's elocution were corroborated by the evidence on record as to her potential to do positive things in her life and be a future compliment to the community. Although the sentencing, as stated above cannot be only about Defendant's rehabilitation, it is indeed a factor to consider when the rehabilitative possibilities are so strong as they are here.

The Court, therefore, believes that some slight mitigation of the maximum jail sentence possible hereunder from the four years maximum down to three years is appropriate in this case.

In view of the foregoing, the Court hereby sentences Defendant Micheline West Brel, as follows:

For Homicide by Vehicle, 9 CMC § 7110(a):

You are sentenced to serve a term of ten (10) years imprisonment, all suspended except three (3) years with credit for time served of sixty-one (61) days. Although the Court does not believe that it has authority to order a work release program, it being a function of the Executive Branch, the Court is making its recommendation that it will be in the best interests of justice that the Department of Corrections (DOC) allow Defendant to be admitted to work release since she still has a job and she can begin making restitution payments to the victim's family which are badly needed, as well as the fines and payments to the Court.

You shall pay a \$1,000.00 fine for the crime of Homicide by Vehicle at a minimum rate of \$50.00 per month, effective 30 days after her release from DOC or upon being allowed in a work

release program.

For Driving While Under the Influence of Alcohol (second offense), 9 CMC \S 7105(a)(5):

You are hereby sentenced to a term of one year imprisonment and a \$1,000.00 fine and suspension of driver's license for one year. All sentences with respect to the jail time to be served shall be concurrent.

This sentence is subject to the following terms and conditions:

- a. That Defendant will be on supervised probation for the remainder of the suspended sentence, under the direction and supervision of the Office of Adult Probation.
 Defendant will observe all directives imposed by the Office of Adult Probation;
- b. The Court believes that you need to be reminded in a special way of exactly what the crime you committed means to society. Therefore, Defendant shall perform two hundred (200) hours of community service at a rate to be determined by her probation officer based on the duration of her suspended sentence. Such community service shall be directed by the probation office and shall be mainly comprised of delivering public lectures to the community and in particular to school students at various schools and classes. The lectures at schools and other community groups to be identified by probation and under probation office direction, shall have Defendant describe the crimes she committed, express her remorse for her criminal conduct and articulate to the students in attendance how her convictions and sentence have affected her life and future plans;
- c. That Defendant be evaluated at the Community Guidance Center (CGC) to determine

if she needs counseling/treatment and comply with any recommendations by CGC and complete her treatment in Traffic Case No. 03-4106;

- d. That Defendant shall refrain from alcohol consumption for the duration of her suspended sentence;
- e. That Defendant shall comply with any random testing scheduled by her probation officer;
- f. That Defendant shall write a letter of apology to the victim's family, to be delivered through the Office Adult Probation, within thirty (30) days from the date of this order. The letter shall contain a minimum fifty (50) words;
- g. That the Defendant pays restitution to the victim's family for any expenses incurred by said family relevant to the instant case (Traffic Case No. 04-2741). The office of the attorney general shall file the amount of restitution in the court and provide a copy to Defendant's counsel within 30 days of sentencing. Defendant will have ten days to file any opposition. Upon such filing, the Court will set a hearing on the matter. Any restitution order or procedure shall be terminated if and when a civil judgment arising from the death of the victim is rendered by the Court against Defendant;
- h. That Defendant shall seek and maintain full-time employment during probation;
- i. That Defendant shall pay an assessment fee of one hundred (\$100.00) dollars as required by 6 CMC § 4119(a)(3)(A);
- j. That Defendant shall pay an annual probation service fee of one hundred (\$100.00) dollars pursuant to 6 CMC § 4113(g), at a minimum rate of fifty (\$50.00) dollars per

1	month effective 30 days after her release from the Department of Corrections or
2	discharge from parole or upon being allowed in a work release program;
3	k. That Defendant shall keep all scheduled appointments with her probation officer; and
4	
5	l. That Defendant shall obey all criminal laws of the Commonwealth and of the United
6	States, except that minor traffic offenses shall not constitute a violation of this
7	condition.
8	With respect to Traffic Case No. 03-4106, the Government's motion to revoke probation is
9	hereby granted and the Court sentences Defendant in that case to serve the remainder of her 26-day
10	sentence, which will run concurrent with the above stated sentences.
11	
12	The Defendant has the right to appeal this sentence within thirty (30) days from the date of
13	entry of this order.
14	
15	ENTERED this 10th day of December 2004.
16	
17	<u>/s/</u>
18	DAVID A. WISEMAN, Associate Judge
19	<i>NOTE:</i> Any Inconsistency Between this Written Order and the Oral Pronouncement of Sentence in Open Court Will be Resolved in Favor of this Written Order. Therefore, Counsel Must Move the Court for a Correction of Any Discrepancy or it Shall be Deemed Waived.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-8-