1	FOR PUBLICATION			
2	TORTOBLICATION			
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT			
8	OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS			
9				
10				
11	COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS,)	CRIMINAL CASE NO. 04-0116(T)	
12	Plaintiff,)	ORDER GRANTING THE COMMONWEALTH'S MOTION	
13	,)	TO DISMISS INFORMATION	
14	v.)		
15	LI GUANG HUI,)		
16	Defendant.)		
17)		
18				
19	I. INTRODUCTION			
20	This matter came before the Court October 8, 2004, for a Bench Trial, at which time the			
21	Commonwealth moved to Dismiss the Information without prejudice. The Commonwealth was			
22	represented by Grant D. Sanders. Defendant was represented by Douglas Hartig, Assistant Public			
23	-	1	,	
24	Defender.			
25	The trial date previously was set for October 8, 2004. On this day of trial the Government			
26	informed the Court that they were not ready to proceed and did not have their witnesses. Defendant			
27				
28	-1-			

was ready and at the public's expense had two interpreters travel from Saipan.

II. DISCUSSION

Defendant objected to a dismissal without prejudice, and preferred it to be with prejudice. Although neither counsel referred to the rule governing dismissal of a case, the Court finds it necessary to discuss the rule and its ramifications.

A. Dismissal Pursuant to Rule 48(a)

Rule 48 of the Commonwealth Rules of Criminal Procedure provides a mechanism for the Government to dismiss charges against a defendant, stating, in pertinent part: "(a) <u>By Attorney for the Government</u>. The attorney for the government may by leave of court file a dismissal of an information or complaint and the prosecution shall thereupon terminate. Such a dismissal may be filed during the trial without the consent of the defendant." Com. R. Crim. P. 48(a).

Because the Commonwealth Rules of Criminal Procedure are patterned after the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, interpretations of the federal rules are instructive. *Commonwealth v. Ramangmau*, 4 N.M.I. 227, 233 n.3 (1995). Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure differs from our local rule it that it requires the consent of the defendant if the dismissal is filed during trial, while our rule does not so require. However, federal interpretation of the court's discretion under this rule is still instructive.

1. Leave of Court

The "leave of court" language in the rule encompasses a court's limited discretion to deny the government's request to dismiss charges, consistent with separation of powers. The prosecutorial function of a criminal case is historically within the province of the Executive Branch. However, the "leave of court" language in Rule 48(a) permits a court to exercise discretion as to whether a

1	pending prosecution should be terminated and whether it should be with or without prejudice, if so			
2	terminated. The principal object of the "leave of court" requirement is apparently to protect a			
3	defendant against prosecutorial harassment, e.g., charging, dismissing, and recharging.			
4				
5	III. CONCLUSION			
6	Defendant was ready for his trial, which would have occurred, but for the Government's not			
7	being prepared to go forward. Under such circumstances, the Court finds good cause to deviate from			
8	the usual standard of dismissal under Rule 48, which is without prejudice, and dismiss the case with			
9	prejudice. A defendant charged in a criminal case should not have to be in an indefinite period of			
10 11	uncertainty as to whether or not he or she will be recharged some day.			
12	This case is hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE .			
13	Any bail posted shall be returned to the bailor and any documents surrendered as a condition			
14	of pretrial release shall be returned.			
15	Co ODDEDED this 15th day of Navarahar 2004			
16	So ORDERED this 15th day of November 2004.			
17				
18	/s/			
	DAVID A. WISEMAN			
19	Associate Judge			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	-3-			
-	5			