

1	DEFENDANT'S RIGHT OF ALLOCUTION
2	Defendant, when given the opportunity to address the Court and speak on his own behalf
3	before the imposition of sentence, stated that he was sorry for what he did, that he regrets what he
4 5	did, and asks for forgiveness from Lenor's family, especially her young daughter. Defendant wishes
6	he could be given a chance to go back in time so that he could change things. However, because he
7	knows he cannot change what happened in this case, he stated that he will never forgive himself.
8	Sentence
9	In this domestic violence case, Defendant killed his former girlfriend, Ms. Lenor Salunga
10	Miranda, last July 14, 2003, in a brutal way. The facts show that on the night of July 14, the
11 12	Defendant and the victim were in the process of moving the victim into a new apartment. In the
12	early evening hours, Defendant consumed alcohol with his two male neighbors and ate the "chaser"
14	that the victim prepared. By the late night hours, Defendant and the victim got into a serious fight,
15	with Defendant shooting Lenor on the head with an air pistol, chopping her wrist with a machete,
16	and cutting her throughout her body. The victim's sister managed to intervene and stop Defendant
17 18	as he continued punching the victim, even after inflicting the shot to her head and cutting her wrist.
18 19	Defendant fled the scene before the police officers arrived.
20	The responding police officer later described the victim's wrist as appearing "to have almost
21	been cut off." The victim managed to survive her attack long enough to identify her attacker to her
22	sister and the responding police officers. The victim's young, six-year-old daughter informed the
23	police about what she saw Defendant do to her mother; she saw him hit mom with the gun that had
24 25	a brown handle, mom screaming, mom hurt, and mom bleeding on the hand, on the shoulder, and
23 26	on her back. The victim, only 38 years old, died the next morning due to her multiple wounds and
27	loss of blood. The autopsy report corroborated the infliction of injuries; the forensic pathologist
28	found that the victim sustained "near amputation of the left wrist; cutting wounds of scalp, left

shoulder, left scapular area, and *right hand*; cutting wound of the *left earlobe*; and *airgun pellet wound*, *left[on her] temple*." In essence, Defendant Aguilar butchered her.

3

24

1

2

This case has had a resounding effect on the entire Commonwealth community. The 4 manager of the victims' shelter, Guma' Esperanza, reported an increase in the number of women 5 seeking shelter because they were threatened the same fate as Lenor by their partners. Since this 6 7 murder occurred, I have in my judicial capacity had at least three different occasions to have seen 8 domestic violence reports submitted to the Court in which the complaining victim reported that their 9 abuser threatened to kill them just like the Filipina lady that was cut up by her boyfriend. This case 10 is not, and should not, be held as an example for other abusers of how to treat their domestic 11 partners. In fact, it is they, the abusers, that should fear the consequences of any similar act. 12

A major aggravating point in this case against Defendant is the fact that when he inflicted
all these injuries on the victim, Lenor, he did so in front of her young, innocent daughter. He
traumatized not only Lenor, but also Lenor's young daughter.

Having reviewed the Pre-sentence Investigation Report, the Court recognizes and considers
Defendant's family as well as his individual character, as known by his friends. Defendant is a
married man with two daughters who are now adults, ages 18 and 19. In addition, Defendant has
expressed his remorse and sincere apology to the victim, the victim's family, the Court, and the
entire community, and accepts full responsibility for his action. Defendant acknowledges that what
he did was wrong. The Court accepts the Defendant's sincere statements as an indication of his
efforts toward rehabilitation.

This Court further notes that Defendant Aguilar does not have an extensive criminal history. Nevertheless, there is evidence that Defendant made numerous prior threats to the victim in this case, as reported by the victim's sister, Marie Fe. In fact, when Defendant inflicted all the pain on Lenor and Lenor's family, and when he ultimately took Lenor's life, he not only destroyed Lenor's family, but also his own, because he now must face the consequences. Just as Lenor's children, siblings, and parents cannot feel her intimate love or receive her financial support, so too will the Defendant's own family.

1

2

3

4

The Defense argues that a sentence to twenty years imprisonment with the possibility of 5 parole is adequate punishment for Defendant. If this Court agreed, Defendant may be eligible and 6 be released in a little more than six years. Given the nature of the crime of this case, the manner in 7 8 which the victim suffered at the hands of Defendant, and the message such a sentence would send 9 to the community, this Court disagrees. The Court is aware that no punishment can be imposed 10 against Defendant that could bring Lenor Miranda back to life. Therefore, the sentence imposed in 11 this case can only seek to ensure that Defendant clearly understands the seriousness of his offense, 12 to deter him from committing any future crimes, and to deter others from committing the same 13 heinous offense. 14

This Court finds that the facts of this case comprise the epitome of the most feared domestic violence case. Here, there has been a killing of a loved one by someone who claimed to love her. Lenor's death was a result of a continued beating in various forms: shooting to the head, cutting of her body, and punching. There is also a history of physical abuse between Defendant and Lenor, and a history of Defendant's prior domestic violence report made by his former girlfriend. And what is Defendant's reason for taking Lenor's life away? Because, as he told his arresting officer, "no one else could have her."

By taking away his freedom to be a part of this community, this Court intends to send a strong message to other abusers in this community: *Enough*. If there are other couples who have a history of domestic violence, I urge each of them to jointly seek help while it's still not too late. To the abusers, get the counselling you need to deal with your problems in the relationship. Otherwise, you too will end up in the same situation as Defendant in this case.

1	Whereas this Court has, on prior criminal cases, decided not to invoke its power to restrict
2	the defendant from being granted parole by the Board of Parole, this Court finds that this is a case
3	that warrants the invocation of such power. To the extent that this Court can do so, the Court will
4 5	not allow this Defendant another opportunity to even threaten to take away another human being's
5	life. This way, the surviving family members of Lenor Miranda will not have to re-live the
7	nightmare they witnessed on July 14, 2003, at any Board of Parole hearing.
8	Also, let this jail sentence deter other people from committing this heinous and serious crime
9	of murder in the first degree. Why? Because the injuries Defendant inflicted is not limited to the
10	victim who died, Ms. Lenor Miranda, but extends to Lenor's children and other family members,
11 12	especially the poor young girl who witnessed her mother's attack. Lenor's youngest child will still
12	have to live her young life without her natural mother. Hopefully, this young child will know that
14	her mother's murderer has been imprisoned for what he did to her. And hopefully, she will live her
15	own life peacefully.
16	This Court has a role in ensuring that justice is done. The Commonwealth Constitution
17	provides that "[t]he right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, and belongings against
18 19	crime shall be recognized at sentencing." N.M.I. Const. art I, §11. The Commonwealth Legislature
20	has provided the severest form of punishment in our criminal justice system for the crime that
21	Defendant has committed: life imprisonment. Because of Defendant's negotiated plea agreement,
22	which this Court accepted to be bound to, Defendant faces a minimum sentence of twenty years, and
23	a maximum of fifty years, rather than life imprisonment. In view of the foregoing facts, this Court
24 25	hereby sentences Defendant Larry Banal Aguilar as follows:
23 26	1. For Count I, First Degree Murder , in violation of 6 CMC § 1101(a)(1), Defendant
27	shall be imprisoned for a term of fifty (50) years , with credit for time served since his arrest on July 16, 2003. Defendant shall serve his sentence without the
28	possibility of parole pursuant to 6 CMC § 4254, as amended by Public Law 12-41 § 7.
	- 5 -

1	
2	The Defendant is further ordered to perform the following terms and conditions:
3	1. The Defendant shall write a letter of apology to the family of the victim in this case,
4	Ms. Lenor S. Miranda, and submit the original to the Court with a copy to the Office
5	of the Attorney General within thirty days. The Attorney General's Office shall
6	transmit a copy of the letter to Lenor's family and to Defendant's counsel.
7	2. The Defendant shall pay a fine of \$5,000.
8	3. The Defendant shall pay the \$100 court assessment fee within 30 days of his
9	sentence pursuant to Public Law 11-105.
10	Because there is some discrepance in the reported possible restitution amount, this Court
11	hereby sets a restitution hearing for January 19, 2005, at 9 a.m.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED this 9th day of November 2004.
14	
15	
16	
17	<u>/s/</u> RAMONA V. MANGLONA, Associate Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 6 -